Date of Committee: 7 March 2018		
Application Number and Address:	Applicant:	
DC/17/01247/FUL	Dr Masoud Ardestani	
The Dairy South Farm Lamesley NE11 0ET		
Proposal:		

Erection of animal shelter including change of use of portion of field to form a fenced enclosure (amended 01/03/18)

Declarations of Interest Nature of Interest

None None

List of speakers and details of any additional information submitted:

Dr Ardestani (applicant) spoke in favour of the application.

Further information for members:-

Officers requested the submission of a drawing showing the internal floor plan of the proposed building to demonstrate that it will be laid out as four stables and to help explain why the building has the footprint proposed. The applicant has failed to provide the requested plan and thus has not been able to allay officers concerns that the footprint of the building proposed is excessive.

Referring to the British Horse Society's (BHS) website:

As a guide the BHS minimum stable size recommendations are:

horses: 3.65m x 3.65m (12ft x 12ft)

large horses: 3.65m x 4.24m (12ft x14ft)

As a guide the Donkey Sanctuary minimum stable sizes for donkeys are:

- mules: 3.65m x 3.65m (12ft x12ft)
- donkeys: 3.05m x 3.05m (10ft x 10ft)
- large donkeys: 3.05m x 3.65m (10ft x 12ft)

Based on the above, using the dimensions for large horses and large donkeys, the proposed shelter should have a minimum internal footprint of 53.3 square metres to adequately accommodate 2 horse and 2 donkeys. This application proposes a building with an internal footprint of 105 square metres, which is almost double what is recognised as appropriate accommodation for 2 large horses and 2 large donkeys.

Officers consider that this shows that the building proposed is excessively large and it fails to preserve the

openness of the Green Belt; therefore reinforcing that is can only be considered as inappropriate development, for which no very special circumstance has been demonstrated. Further to the above, the BHS also provide guidance on the area of pasture required for each animal. They recommend 1.25-2.5 acres per horse and 0.5 acres per donkey. Based on the location plan submitted with this application it is considered that the total area of pasture available to the applicant is approximately 3.5 acres. Therefore, on the basis of having 4 animals on site, there is only enough space for 2 donkeys and 2 small horses. This further supports Officer's concerns that the size of the building proposed is excessively large and hence inappropriate in the Green Belt. Decision(s) and any conditions attached: That permission be REFUSED for the following reason(s) and that the Strategic Director of Communities and Environment be authorised to add, vary and amend the refusal reasons as necessary: 1. The proposed development represents inappropriate development, which by definition is harmful to the Green Belt and no very special circumstance has been demonstrated to outweigh the harm. Therefore, the proposed development is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework and policy CS19 of the Core Strategy and Urban Core Plan. Any additional comments on application/decision: None.

Date of Committee: 7 March 2018		
Application Number and Address:	Applicant:	
DC/17/01358/OUT	Mr Alan Kain	
Former Monkridge Gardens Residents Association and lands at 21 and 23 and land south of 9-23 Monkridge Gardens Dunston Hill Gateshead NE11 9XE		

Proposal:

Outline planning permission with all matters reserved for the clearance, lowering and levelling of site and the erection of up to 10 dwelling houses, with a new shared-surfaced vehicular and pedestrian access.

Declarations of Interest:

Name Nature of Interest

None

List of speakers and details of any additional information submitted:

Councillor Peter Maughan spoke against the application.

Dr Anton Lang (Agent) spoke in favour of the application.

Further information for members

Withdrawal of objection/removal of refusal reason

Further to paragraphs 5.34 - 5.36 of the main agenda, the Coal Authority have reviewed the Coal Mining Risk Assessment (CMRA) submitted by the applicant and have subsequently removed their objection to the proposal.

It is considered an appropriate level of information has been provided in regard to coal mining legacy issues, subject to appropriate planning conditions. Officers consider that refusal reason 4 should be omitted from the recommendation.

Decision(s) and any conditions attached:

That permission be REFUSED for the following reason(s) and that the Strategic Director of Communities and Environment be authorised to add, vary and amend the refusal reasons as necessary:

1. The proposed development by virtue of the quantum of development proposed and the physical characteristics of the site, including its access arrangement and shape would result in overdevelopment of the site with spaces between buildings being dominated by hard-surfacing and car parking, and leading to a likely need for inadequately sized family outdoor private garden spaces; compromised separation distances, and a generally poorly designed scheme; all of which would result in an unattractive environment and a poor level of amenity for future residents. The proposal would therefore be contrary to

policies DC2 and ENV3 of the Unitary Development Plan, polices CS14 and CS15 of the Council's Core Strategy and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne, the Gateshead Placemaking Guide Supplementary Planning Document and the National Planning Policy Framework.		
2. The proposed development by virtue of its proposed means of access would result in an unacceptable impact on the residential amenity of the occupiers of 21 and 23 Monkridge Gardens, as a direct result of significant vehicle and pedestrian movements associated with the proposed development. The proposal would therefore be contrary to polices DC2 and ENV3 of the Unitary Development Plan, policies CS14 and CS15 of the Council's Core Strategy and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne, the Gateshead Placemaking Guide Supplementary Planning Document and the National Planning Policy Framework		
3. The proposed development by virtue of the inadequate nature of the proposed access width would result in conflict between vehicles and pedestrians to the detriment of highway safety, contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework and policy CS13 of the Council's Core Strategy and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne.		
4. Insufficient information in the form of a Floor Risk and Drainage Assessment has been submitted to enable the Council to consider whether the proposed development site is suitable for development contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework and policy CS17 of the Council's Core Strategy and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne.		
Any additional comments on application/decision:		
None		

Date of Committee: 7 March 2018		
Application Number and Address:	Applicant:	
DC/18/00032/HHA	Mr Carl Hodgson	
33 Cromwell Ford Way		
Ryton NE21 4FH		
Propositi		
Proposal:		
Single storey rear extension		
Declarations of Interest:		
Name	Nature of Interest	
None		
List of speakers and details of any additional information submitted:		
None		
Decision(s) and any conditions attached:		
That permission be GRANTED subject to the following condition(s) and that the Strategic Director of Communities and Environment be authorised to add, vary and amend the planning conditions as necessary:		
The development shall be carried out in complete accordance with the approved plan(s) as detailed below –		
Building Plans Location Plan		
Any material change to the approved plans will require a formal planning application to vary this condition and any non-material change to the plan will require the submission of details and the agreement in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to any non-material change being made.		
2. The development to which this permission related must be commenced not later than 3 years from the date of this permission.		
3. The development hereby permitted shall be constructed entirely of the materials detailed and shown on plan number 'Building Plans', and on the submitted Application Form.		
Any additional comments on application/decis	sion:	

None